	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/910,090	MOHRI, MEHRYAR
	Examiner	Art Unit
	lamas O Mannials	2055
	James S. Wozniak	2655
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communica IGHTS. This application is subjection	application. If not included tion will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>2/4/2005</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>30-45, 47-49, and 51-55</u> .		
3. \square The drawings filed on $2/4/2005$ are accepted by the Exami	ner.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Application No)
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file a re IENT of this application.	ply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in to 7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit	con's Patent Drawing Review (Posteria). s Amendment / Comment or in the drawing should be written on the drawing to 37 CFR 1.1	e Office action of awings in the front (not the back) of 21(d).
attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOG	SICAL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 Motion of Info	al Batant Application (BTO 452)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		al Patent Application (PTO-152) arv (PTO-413)
_	Paper No./Mail	Date .
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 	98), 7. Examiner's Ame	ndment/Comment
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		ement of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9. 🗌 Other	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- In response to the office action from 11/5/2004, the applicant has submitted an amendment, filed 2/4/2005, amending the drawings and Claims 30-45, 47-49, and 51-55, while canceling Claims 46 and 50 and arguing to traverse the art rejection based on the limitation regarding the method step for leaving a state with its weights pre-cross multiplied by an epsilon distance from a state "p" to a state "q" (Amendment, Pages 9-10). The applicant's arguments have been fully considered and claims 30-55 are allowable over the prior art of record for the reasons noted by the applicant and those given below.
- Due to the cancellation of Claims 46 and 50, the examiner has withdrawn the previous 35
 U.S.C. 101 rejection.
- 3. Due to the drawing amendments, the examiner has withdrawn the previous objections directed towards minor informalities.
- 4. Due to the terminal disclaimer filed 2/4/2005, the examiner has withdrawn the previous double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,090 Page 3

Art Unit: 2655

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 30-45, 47-49, and 51-55 are allowable over the prior art of record.

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to Claim 30, the prior art of record does not specifically teach or fairly suggest a computer readable medium that stores a computer program for implementing a method for use in natural language processing for speech recognition that removes an empty string (epsilon) from a finite state machine by calculating an epsilon closure for each state "p" in an input automaton using the equation listed on page 17 of the specification. By performing this calculation, all of the outgoing paths (including epsilon paths) from a first state "p" to a second state "q" are calculated. Next the epsilon (empty) paths are removed according to the equations listed on Pages 17-18 of the specification and remaining non-empty transitions are modified by pre-cross multiplying the weights of the state "q" by the epsilon distance from state "p" to state q" to account for the removed empty string transitions in the automaton. Inaccessible states resulting from this calculation are not pre-cross multiplied, as are the non-empty transitions, and are removed using a depth first search. The result of the above noted process is a reduced size word lattice for use in speech recognition. The prior art of record does not explicitly teach or specifically suggest the above noted method stored as a program on a computer readable medium, thus Claim 30 is allowable over the prior art of record.

With respect to other related prior art:

Application/Control Number: 09/910,090 Page 4

Art Unit: 2655

Morley et al (U.S. Patent: 6,499,132) teaches a means of removing an epsilon transition according to a depth first search (Col. 14, Lines 1-4), but does not teach the calculation of an epsilon closure or the modification of the weight of a state "q" as is noted above with respect to Claim 30.

Kempe (U.S. Patent: 6,760,636) teaches a means for epsilon removal and state modification using concatenation (Col. 20, Lines 32-40), but does not teach the calculation of an epsilon closure or the modification of the weight of a state "q" as is noted above with respect to Claim 30.

Independent claims 34, 38, 42, 54, and 55 contain subject matter similar to Claim 1, and thus, are allowable over the prior art of record for the same above noted reasons.

The dependent claims further limit independent claims that are allowable over the prior art of record, and thus, are also allowable over the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Wozniak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7632

Art Unit: 2655

and email is James. Wozniak@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays, 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached at (703) 305-4827. The fax/phone number for the Technology Center 2600 where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the technology center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

James S. Wozniak 5/3/2005

DAVID L. OMETZ PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5